WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA	١
--------	--------	----	----------------	---

UNI	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Jor	ge Armando Garcia-Noriega	Case Number: <u>11-09348M-001</u>			
and was repres		3142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 23, 2011. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention			
find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	· ·	law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of years imprisonment.			
The C	ourt incorporates by reference the ma the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.			
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe				
2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
		TIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending the opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
	APPEA	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE			
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsideration	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District			
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and			
DATE: <u>June</u>	e 23, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge			